

JOINT COURT OF JUSTICE OF ARUBA, CURACAO, SINT MAARTEN AND OF BONAIRE, SINT EUSTATIUS AND SABA Emancipatie Blvd. Dominico F. 'Don' Martina 18

Oranjestad, April 2, 2024

Dear President of the joint court of Justice Mr. Mauritsz de Kort,

I am writing this letter today with a deliberate intention to express my concerns in English, recognizing the seriousness of the situation and its broader implications within the Dutch system. My goal is not only to bring these issues to your attention but also to raise awareness among the international community, given the alleged neglect of duty on your part as President of the Joint Court of Justice. It appears that you have allowed your colleagues to violate the law with apparent impunity, including former President Judge Eunice Saleh, Vice President Jacques Keltjens, former Vice President Peter Lemaire, former Judge Willem Jan Noordhuizen, and Antonius van de Leur, who compared me, along with hundreds of others, to his dog during a class action lawsuit in open court, this was on front page in the local news papers and international media.

I feel compelled to highlight certain actions undertaken by your esteemed colleagues, which have resulted in egregious violations of my fundamental human rights. Unfortunately, during her tenure as president of the court, former President Judge Eunice Saleh, among others, has failed to address these transgressions and may have even been complicit in perpetrating illegal actions against me.

Furthermore, I am deeply troubled by the leniency shown towards a convicted judge, Mr. Noordhuizen, who, despite being found guilty of fraudulent activities leading to my unjust incarceration, has seamlessly transitioned into the legal profession as an attorney. He is now working in collaboration with former President of the Joint Court of Justice, Eunice Saleh's brother-in-law. (still member of your court). The circumstances surrounding this transition raise questions about its serendipity.

The severity of these injustices is compounded by the unlawful seizure of my property at Saliña Cerka 31C, Noord, Aruba, valued at over One Million USD (\$ 1,000,000). It has been demonstrated that former Judges Willem Jan Noordhuizen and Judge Peter Lemaire filed false claims against me, resulting in my imprisonment without due process.

This blatant abuse of power not only undermines the integrity of the judicial system but also denies me the opportunity to defend myself against baseless allegations. You are aware of the criminal activities allegedly committed against me by your colleagues, who have filed motions claiming threats to their lives, only to proceed with the case without legal representation for the accused, thereby denying me a fair defense during the court proceedings and resulting in the unjust seizure of my property in Noord of Aruba.



I trust that you will carefully consider these matters and take appropriate action to address the violations of justice that have occurred.

Furthermore, it is crucial to emphasize the inherent conflict of interest in Judge Noordhuizen involvement in the case concerning my property. As you are undoubtedly aware, any judge who files a legal complaint against an individual cannot impartially preside over a case involving said individual. Yet, this fundamental principle has been disregarded, both by former President Eunice Saleh and yourself, further eroding my trust in the impartiality of the judicial process.

The disparaging portrayal of my character in the media, compounded by the complicity of individuals such as Judge G.C.C. Lewin and former President Judge Eunice A. Saleh, only serves to exacerbate the injustice perpetrated against me.

I implore you, Mr. Mauritsz Kort, in your capacity as the President of the Court of Appeal of Justice, to address these egregious violations of my rights with the utmost urgency and diligence. Only through a steadfast commitment to upholding the principles of justice and accountability can we hope to rectify the injustices that have been perpetrated against me and others who share my circumstances.

Numerous letters have been sent to you, Judge Mauritsz de Kort, urging you as the President of the Joint Courts of Justice to address the various complaints outlined above, including attending to the merits of the motion I filed in 2015 case nr. 2875-2015. Regrettably, my case has been stalled after being attended in 2016/2017, with exorbitant demands for yet more thousands of Aruban Guilders to proceed, constituting nothing short of further injustice perpetrated by the appellate court judges (Robbery).

Your courts, operating within the Dutch system, have systematically violated my rights for three decades, arbitrarily placing me in custody whenever it suited the interests of your colleagues and their associates to gain financial or other forms of compensation.

Is it not true that this illicit coercion is intended to silence me, particularly considering my prior disclosure to FOX NEWS regarding the involvement of Jan van der Straten and Paul van der Sloot in concealing the body of Natalie Holloway? We are both aware of Mr. Jan van de Straten's mandate from the Dutch government to surveil Aruban government officials, followed by his role in internal affairs before abruptly and without adequate education assuming the position of Police Commissioner in Aruba. The same judges mentioned above are the ones who have participated in the case of Natalie Holloway versus Joran van der Sloot or should i say Joran van der Sloot versus Natalie Holloway as your joint courts of justice and his fathers personal friends, for instance Judge Lemaire made him (van der Sloot) out to be the victim. Hard evidence was given to your courts that the body of Natalie Holloway was placed on the dutch Navi schip that was doct in Aruban waters at the time, yet your appeal court set him free and hid the evidence to this date.

I have endured years of imprisonment based on documentation that fails to accurately reflect my identity, despite my persistent requests for rectification or release from unlawful imprisonment, all of which have been met with resounding silence.



Despite the passage of time, your refusal to address this glaring injustice persists. I implore you, Mr. Mauritsz de Kort, President of the Joint Court of Justice, to rectify the misrepresentation of my identity on the specific verdict that facilitated my incarceration and deprived me of access to legal counsel and my family. Your inaction amounts to nothing short of kidnapping, and it is imperative that you rectify this matter without further delay.

Furthermore, it is deeply distressing to discover that my residence at Saliña Cerca 31C has been unlawfully seized and is now being repurposed as a synagogue, directly contradicting my religious beliefs.

Your silence and lack of action against corruption serve to condone and perpetuate it, effectively contributing to the ongoing trend of corruption. By remaining passive for months or even years, you become complicit in corruption, unable to halt its progression as you become entrenched within it.

In 2018, I stood before you, demanding the return of my seized property and cash following yet another unwarranted violent arrest. You appeared to agree with my motion, demanding that the prosecution return my belongings at their earliest opportunity. However, to my dismay, the verdict you issued seemed designed to render my victory meaningless, providing me with no tangible outcome. To this day, six years later, my properties and money have not been returned.

Former Vice President De Poel delivered a lengthy speech entering office as President emphasizing the importance of the appeal court and its role in upholding justice to prevent any misconception about its purpose to prevent terrorisim due to solid and just dicisions made by good and pure people (judges), signaling a commitment to justice and a refusal to tolerate terrorism. However, all the judges mentioned in this letter, to my knowledge, have engaged in activities qualifying as terrorist acts, whether directly or indirectly. They have been perpetrating illegal and deliberate acts against humanity within the Dutch judicial system for years by ruling base on personal vendettas.

The Dutch have constructed a judicial system aimed at shielding themselves from accountability for crimes they have committed against humanity by:

- 1. Failing to record court proceedings (minutes), denying the defense access to previous statements made during the proceedings by judges, defense attorneys, prosecutors, or witnesses in court etc..
- 2. Justifying this omission by claiming that the appeal court has annulled judgments from the lower court and will commence proceedings anew, despite missing documents due to incomplete court minutes—disregarding a miscarriage of justice.
- 3. Providing legal counsel paid by the government at rates far below minimum wage.
- 4. Blocking access to legal counsel and complete access to one's lawyer without interference by unwanted third parties.



- 5. Imposing exorbitant court fees, rendering them unaffordable for the middle class.
- 6. Conducting various cases in closed courtrooms, barring visitors, including the media, from witnessing corruption within the courts and no minutes recorded.
- 7. Prohibiting recording devices or phones everywhere in the courthouse, including waiting areas, necessitating video and audio surveillance of every part of the courthouse, both inside and outside, to ensure the protection of all present. One cannot request the court any investigation based on camera footage when false accusations are made against them, as was done to me several times by Judge and vice president of the joint courts of jutice Jacques Keltjens. Judge Keltjens falsely accused me of threatening staff at the courthouse. Due to sneaking in my own spyware, I had proof that the allegations were false and avoided wrongful imprisonment, as this was his intention as the vice president mentioned he would file a legal complaint with his camarads at the prosecution office in order to have me arrested without investigation for threatening staff members from the Aruban court.
- 8. Instructing stenographers to transcribe what judges dictate, rather than accurately recording what they hear—a distortion of justice.

Justice is not guaranteed; everything is under the total control of one or more judges if you can afford the court fees (a violation of fundamental human rights).

Judge and President Mauritsz de Kort,

I have fulfilled my obligations by paying my court fees, and now I demand justice. A fair trial is my right, and I insist on being present for my own case to question the suspicious and illegal circumstances surrounding it. Furthermore, I demand the minutes of the court proceedings, as requested in December 2023. I insist that my separately paid motions be addressed separately, as intended in the order they were filed. Firstly, the execution dispute, followed by the appeal. Should your corrupt court of appeal decide to handle both cases, it must be clear that they understand my intention with the execution motion filed against Judge Drenth's verdict in case nr. AUA202304087 is to halt/ stop the execution until a decision is made in a standard appeal court procedure whereas i demand to be presend for furtherargumentation. I have filed a motion and demand to be present to further argue my case in person. While the judges may be corrupt, they are certainly capable of reading my petitions filed on February 2, 2024, and February 13, 2024 demanding to be presend to pleade my case further and in person. I object to any decisions made in this procedure AUA202304087-AUA2024H00026 verdict given by Judge G. Drenth and Your appeal court. I demand my day in court and adherence to legal procedure. I demand a fair trial under EVRM article 6.

To file a motion claiming ownership of a property, one must indeed be the rightful owner for the court to accept it. All demands and requests in such a motion are assumed to come from the owner of the property. If the individual who filed that motion lied about their ownership, and the true owners are unaware of the motion filed, the motion file must be deemed inadmissible and addressed substantively because formal requirements have not been met.



Misrepresentation, fraud, criminal activity must be considered. This is a fact that I have repeatedly argued, demanding to be present at the court proceedings to proceed as the law dictates.

Mr. President Mauritsz de Kort, if your courts once again violate my basic human rights to such an extent, harming my business, distroying my Family and children essentially committing terrorist acts against me out of vendetta and hate, it would leave me no choice but to surrender my Dutch passport and seek asylum in a different country to protect myself from harm caused by you and your colleagues. Until a thorough investigation against the minister of justice Roco Tjon whom have numerously refuse to accept my petitions demanding justice and judges of the first instance court of the islands and the judges of the joint courts of justice is conducted. The joint court of justice has once again violated my basic human rights. Your organization has violated EVRM Article 1, the right to respect human rights. Your organization has deprived me of my right to life. Over three decades, consistently violating my basic human rights, a violation of EVRM Article 2 and Article 3, making me feel tortured. Different emergency motions were filed with your court due to me and my company working without receiving a dime. These motions have been postponed by your organization for years, holding me captive as a slave without receiving a salary, a violation of EVRM Article 3, 4, and 5, forcing me to live like a slave, the rights to liberty and security. Without a doubt, you have witnessed the fact repeatedly that your organization has violated EVRM Article 6, the right to a fair trial.

You and your organization have turned a blind eye to my incarceration for 5.5 years without a proper verdict. The verdict erroneously states someone else's name and country of birth, a matter that you, President Mauritsz de Kort, and the Joint Court of Justice have refused to rectify to this day. This constitutes a violation of EVRM Article 7, which prohibits punishment without lawful conviction, and a violation of EVRM Article 8, which safeguards private and family life. I was unjustly separated from my family and minor children.

To this day, your joint courts and the supreme court have refused to provide an effective remedy, contravening EVRM Article 13 and Article 14, which prohibit discrimination.

I trust this information has been adequately conveyed.

Sincerely yours,

Edwardo A.A. Mathew

CEO and Founder of Massimo Consultant & Financial Services N.V.